

Magnetic-phase transitions in a frustrated two-leg spin ladder

T. Sugimoto^{1#}, M. Mori², T. Tohyama¹, and S. Maekawa²

¹*Department of Applied Physics, Tokyo Univ. of Science, Katsushika, Tokyo 125-8585, Japan*

²*Advanced Science Research Center, JAEA, Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan*

sugimoto.takanori@rs.tus.ac.jp

Recently, successive phase transitions induced by magnetic field have been observed in a frustrated two-leg spin ladder BiCu_2PO_6 [1], in which the frustration is introduced by the next-nearest-neighbor antiferromagnetic exchange interaction in the leg direction. The ground-state phase of the compound has been determined by an inelastic-neutron-scattering experiment [2] and numerical calculations [3,4]. The preceding works claims that there is a strong frustration. Therefore, the frustration will play a key role to generate the successive phase transitions.

First, we calculate the magnetic-field dependence of magnetization by using density-matrix renormalization-group method with and without the frustration. In this calculation, we find a phase transition induced by strong frustration, which does not appear in a non-frustrated two-leg spin ladder. The phase transition emerges as a jump of susceptibility with unsaturated finite magnetization. We also investigate the origin of the phase transition by using the bond-operator mean-field approximation. We conclude that the phase transition is the same type as the Lifshitz transition. Our study is useful to analyze experimental data of inelastic neutron scattering for BiCu_2PO_6 .

References

- [1] Y. Kohama, S. Wang, A. Uchida, K. Prsa, S. Zvyagin, Y. Skourski, R. D. McDonald, L. Balicas, H. M. Ronnow, C. Rüegg, and M. Jaime, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 167204 (2012).
- [2] K. W. Plumb, Z. Yamani, M. Matsuda, G. J. Shu, B. Koteswararao, F. C. Chou, Y.-J. Kim, *Phys. Rev. B*, **88**, 024402 (2013).
- [3] A. Lavarélo, G. Roux, and N. Laflorencie, *Phys. Rev. B*, **84**, 144407 (2011).
- [4] T. Sugimoto, M. Mori, T. Tohyama, and S. Maekawa, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 155143 (2013).